

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/922,926	08/07/2001	Akira Kosaka	44085-154	9002	
7590 03/12/2002 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY		EXAMINER			
600 13th, N.W. Washington, De	C 20005-3096		BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) KOSAKO	et	d
Office Action Summary	Examiner M. B.J.)	Gro	up Art Unit 1-834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the corresp	ondence a	ddress
Period for Response	2			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		MONTH(S) F		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by</li> </ul>	response within the statuto	ory minimum of thirty (3)	0) days will be of this commu	considered timely.
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, <b>pros</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the 1 3.	nerits is clo	osea IN
Disposition of Claims /_14				p. 41
Disposition of Claims  Claim(s)  Of the above claim(s)		is/are pendi	ng in the ap	plication.
				onsideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allow	ed.	
★ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-14		is/are reject	ted.	
Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-14  Claim(s) 4 and 5		is/are object	ted to.	
☐ Claim(s)		are subject requiremen	to restrictio	n or election
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.	C discourse and		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved	ப disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	eu to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		) (d)		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of  received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	the priority documents	have been		
received in this national stage application from the Inte				
*Certified copies not received:			•	
Attachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	10(0) 5/8-9-01) -	Intention Summan	/. PTΩ-413	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	10(s). 2 (a / )	Interview Summar   Notice of Informal	patent ∆nnli	ication, PTO-152
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Other		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	1 <b>8</b>	. Other		
Offic	e Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Serial Number: 09/922,926

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Reuter, Tojo, Matsuda or Japan (477).

Each reference teaches a driving mechanism using a base, two displacement parts and a resultant part that engages a moving part. Note that any metal is capable of some elastic deformation. Thus the references read directly on the claimed structure. Note especially #8 of Reuter and #22 of Japan.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Vishneosky (580) Vishnevsky (103) or Esemann.

Note Eusemann (Figs. 1-3, 5 & 10, Vishnevsky (Figs. 1-4, 6, 13-31) and Mukojima (Figs. 1-3).

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial Number: 09/922,926

Art Unit: 2834

Further cited of interest is Mukohjima (766) (fig. 5).

Budd/ds

03/07/02

MARK U. BUDD FRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 247